

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
10/717,536	11/21/2003	Michael Chad Hollis	56709.000013	7980	
21967 7590 07/12/2006			EXAMINER		
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			CHOI, STEPHEN		
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			0.000.000.000	_
1900 K STRE	ET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_
SUITE 1200		3724			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				۷				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
055		10/717,536	HOLLIS ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Stephen Choi	3724					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)					
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ar</u>	o <u>ril 2006</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5,29-31 and 52-54</u> is/are pending in	the application.	·					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,29-31 and 52-54</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· 8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/ar		ed to by the Examiner					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			•				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	application from the International Bureau		-					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da		•				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Declaration filed on April 3, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Schoene reference. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Garuglieri.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the use of the phrase "may be" and "can be" should be avoided since it is confusing whether the recitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 29, 31, and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garuglieri (US 6,021,700).

Garuglieri discloses all the recited elements of the invention including a base assembly with a top surface (e.g., 16), a fence assembly mounted to the base assembly with a front surface positioned above the top surface of the base assembly (e.g., 17), a saw support assembly (e.g., 26) rotatably mounted to the base assembly to rotate relative to the base assembly about a first rotational axis, a saw unit having a saw blade

Application/Control Number: 10/717,536

Art Unit: 3724

(e.g., 36), and a bevel angle locking actuator (e.g., 172) rotating in unison with the saw support assembly about the first rotational axis. Regarding claim 2, e.g., Figure 2b. Regarding claims 3-5, e.g., col. 4, line 25 (US 5,590,991). Regarding claim 31, e.g., Figure 2a. Regarding claim 54, a bevel locking linkage (e.g., 172) changing/moving to a position shown on Figure 2a which is in a direction normal to the first rotation axis causes the saw support assembly to be pushed against the base assembly.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garuglieri in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereafter AAPA).

Garuglieri discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the elongated lever formed from stamped sheet metal. However, AAPA teaches that forming the lever from stamped sheet metal is old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the lever from stamped sheet metal since selecting a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. It is noted that the common knowledge or well-known in the art statement of the previous office action has been taken to be admitted prior art

Application/Control Number: 10/717,536

Art Unit: 3724

because applicant either failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice

Page 4

or that the traverse was inadequate. See MPEP § 2144.03.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-

4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

8 July 2006